

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 7

SAMUEL H DWORETSKY AT&T CORP PO BOX 4110 MIDDLETOWN NJ 07748-411

COPY MAILED

OCT 3 1 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Kapitskaia, et al. Application No. 09/841,834 Filed: April 25, 2001 Attorney Docket No. 2000-0255

DECISION REFUSING STATUS UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

This is in response to the petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed February 12, 2002.

The petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.47(a) is **DISMISSED**.

Rule 47 applicant is given **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply <u>may</u> include an oath or declaration executed by the nonsigning inventor. **Failure to respond will result in abandonment of the application**. Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a).

The above-identified application was filed on April 25, 2001, without an executed oath or declaration. Accordingly, on June 18, 2001, the Initial Patent Examination Division mailed a Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application. This Notice set a two month period for reply.

In reply, applicant filed the instant petition (and fee) and paid the surcharge for late filing of the declaration. To make timely this reply, applicant obtained a five month extension of time and included a Certificate of Mailing dated January 18, 2002. Applicant included a declaration signed by inventors Raymond Ng and Divesh Srivastava. The declaration lacked a signature from inventor Olga Kapitskaia.

On petition, attorney Benjamin Lee included a declaration of facts from Felicia Adadevoh, the person having firsthand knowledge of the efforts taken to transmit the application papers to Kapitskaia. According to Adadevoh, the oath and declaration "along with other papers" were forwarded to Kapitskaia via Federal Express in late August, 2001. In addition, Adadevoh states that no response as of the date of the petition has been received, and the Federal Express package was not returned to sender.

A grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached after diligent effort or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having

been presented with the application papers (specification, claims, drawings, oath or declaration); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.63; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the nonsigning inventor. Rule 47 applicant has not met requirement (1) above.

As to requirement (1), applicant has not demonstrated that a copy of the entire application papers (specification, including claims and drawings) were sent for the nonsigning inventor's review. The record only establishes that a copy of the declaration was forwarded for signature. Requiring that an inventor be presented with the application papers before a petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.47 is granted "ensures that the inventor is apprised of the application to which the path or declaration is directed." application to which the oath or declaration is directed."

Furthermore, the petition reveals that on January 18, 2002, Kapitskaia stated she would try to sign and return the declaration within a week's time. Accordingly, on renewed petition, Rule 47 applicant must either provide a copy of the executed declaration, or applicant must state that the declaration was never received.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

مالكان الم

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Box DAC

Washington, D.C. 20231

By FAX:

(703) 308-6916

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Crystal Plaza Four, Suite 3C23 2201 S. Clark Place

Arlington, VA

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to Petitions Attorney Cliff Congo at (703) 305-0272.

Christina lautura Donnell Gor

Beverly M. Flanagan Supervisory Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

¹ MPEP 409.03(d).